

Verapamil: Verapamil is a substrate and inhibitor of CYP3A4 and P-gp; sirolimus concentrations should be monitored and a dose adjustment may be necessary. [See Drug Interactions (7.4)]. The simultaneous oral administration of 2 mg daily of Sirolimus Oral Solution and 150 mg of verapamil at steady state to 12 healthy volunteers significantly affected the bioavailability of sirolimus and verapamil. Sirolimus C_{max} and AUC were increased 2.3- and 2-fold, respectively, without substantial change in t_{1/2}. The C_{max} and AUC of the pharmacologically active S(-) enantiomer of verapamil were both increased 1.5-fold and t_{1/2} was increased by 1.2-fold.

Drugs Which May Be Co-administered Without Dose Adjustment
Clinically significant pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions were not observed in studies of drugs listed below. Sirolimus and these drugs may be co-administered without dose adjustments.

- Acrivolin
 - Atorvastatin
 - Digoxin
 - Doxibate
 - Nifedipine
 - Norgestrel/ethinyl estradiol (Lo/Oval®)
 - Prednisone
 - Sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (Bactrim®)
- Other Drug-Drug Interactions**
Co-administration of sirolimus with other known strong inhibitors of CYP3A4 and/or P-gp (such as voriconazole, itraconazole, telmiflouran, or clarithromycin) or other known strong inducers of CYP3A4 and/or P-gp (such as rifabutin) is not recommended. [See Warnings and Precautions (5.2), Drug Interactions (7.2)]. In patients in whom strong inhibitors or inducers of CYP3A4 and P-gp are used, the following agents with less potential for inhibition or induction of CYP3A4 should be considered:

- Calcium channel blockers: nifedipine.
 - Antifungal agents: clotrimazole, fluconazole.
 - Antibiotics: tetracyclines.
 - Gastrointestinal prokinetic agents: cisapride, metoclopramide.
 - Other drugs: bromocriptine, cimetidine, danazol, protease inhibitors (e.g., for HIV and hepatitis C that include drugs such as ritonavir, indinavir, boceprevir, and telaprevir).
 - Other drugs that have the potential to decrease sirolimus concentrations include (but are not limited to):
 - Anticovulsants: carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin.
 - Antibiotics: rifampicin.
- Other Drug-Drug Interactions**
Grapefruit juice reduced CYP3A4-mediated drug metabolism. Grapefruit juice must not be taken with or used for dilution of sirolimus. [See Dosage and Administration (2.9), Drug Interactions (7.3)].

St. John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum*) induces CYP3A4 and P-gp. Since sirolimus is a substrate for both cytochrome CYP3A4 and P-gp, there is the potential that the use of St. John's Wort in patients receiving sirolimus could result in reduced sirolimus concentrations. [See Drug Interactions (7.4)].

13. NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY

13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility
Carcinogenicity studies were conducted in mice and rats. In an 86-week female mouse study at sirolimus doses 30 to 120 times higher than the 2 mg daily clinical dose (adjusted for body surface area), there was a statistically significant increase in malignant lymphomas at all dose levels compared with controls. In a second mouse study at dosages that were approximately 3 to 16 times higher than the 2 mg daily clinical dose (adjusted for body surface area), hepatocellular adenomas and sarcomas in males were consistently sirolimus-related. In the 104-week rat study at dosages equal to or lower than the clinical dose of 2 mg daily (adjusted for body surface area), there were no significant findings.

Sirolimus was not genotoxic in the *in vitro* rat micronucleus mutation assay, the Chinese hamster ovary cell chromosomal aberration assay, the mouse lymphoma cell forward mutation assay, or the *in vivo* mouse micronucleus assay. When female rats were treated by oral gavage with sirolimus and mated to untreated males, female fertility was decreased at 0.5 mg/kg (2.5-fold the clinical dose of 2 mg, on a body surface area basis) due to decreased implantation. In addition, reduced early and uterine weight was observed. The NOAEL for female rat fertility was 0.1 mg/kg (0.5-fold the clinical dose of 2 mg). When male rats were treated by oral gavage with sirolimus and mated to untreated females, male fertility was decreased at 2 mg/kg (1-fold the clinical dose of 2 mg, on a body surface area basis). Atrophy of testes, hepatocellular adenomas, and sarcomas in males were consistently sirolimus-related and reduced sperm counts were observed. The NOAEL for male rat fertility was 0.5 mg/kg (2.5-fold the clinical dose of 2 mg).

Testicular tubule degeneration was also seen in a 4-week intravenous study of sirolimus in monkeys at 0.1 mg/kg (1-fold the clinical dose of 2 mg, on a body surface area basis).

14. CLINICAL STUDIES

14.1 Prophylaxis of Organ Rejection in Renal Transplant Patients

Sirolimus Oral Solution
The safety and efficacy of Sirolimus Oral Solution for the prevention of organ rejection following renal transplantation were assessed in two randomized, double-blind, multicenter, controlled trials. These studies compared two dose levels of Sirolimus Oral Solution (2 mg and 5 mg, once daily) with azathioprine (Study 1) or placebo (Study 2) when administered in combination with cyclosporine and corticosteroids. Study 1 was conducted in the United States at 38 sites. Seven hundred and sixteen (719) patients were enrolled in this trial and randomized following transplantation; 284 were randomized to receive Sirolimus Oral Solution 2 mg/day; 274 were randomized to receive Sirolimus Oral Solution 5 mg/day; and 161 to receive azathioprine 2.0 mg/kg/day. Study 2 was conducted in Australia, Canada, Europe, and the United States, at a total of 34 sites. Five hundred seventy-six (576) patients were enrolled in this trial and randomized before transplantation; 227 were randomized to receive Sirolimus Oral Solution 2 mg/day; 219 were randomized to receive Sirolimus Oral Solution 5 mg/day; and 130 to receive placebo. In both studies, the use of antimycopholate antibody induction therapy was prohibited. In both studies, the primary efficacy endpoint was the rate of efficacy failure at the first 6 months after transplantation. Efficacy failure was defined as the first occurrence of an acute rejection episode (confirmed by biopsy), graft loss, or death.

The tables below summarize the results of the primary efficacy analyses from these trials. Sirolimus oral solution, at doses of 2 mg/day or 5 mg/day, significantly reduced the risk of efficacy failure compared with azathioprine. The difference was statistically significant level adjusted for multiple (2) dose comparisons) at 6 months following transplantation compared with both azathioprine and placebo.

Parameter	Sirolimus Oral Solution 2 mg/day (n = 284)	Sirolimus Oral Solution 5 mg/day (n = 274)	Azathioprine 2-3 mg/kg/day (n = 161)
Efficacy failure at 6 months ^a	18.7	18.8	32.3
Components of efficacy failure			
Biopsy-proven acute rejection	16.5	11.3	29.2
Graft loss	2.1	2.9	2.9
Death	0.7	1.8	0
Lead to follow-up	0.4	0.7	0.6

Lead to follow-up	32.8	25.9	38.0
Components of efficacy failure			
Biopsy-proven acute rejection	23.6	17.5	32.3
Graft loss	3.9	4.7	4.9
Death	4.2	3.3	0
Lead to follow-up	1.1	0.4	0.6

a: Patients received cyclosporine and corticosteroids.
b: Includes patients who prematurely discontinued treatment.
c: Primary endpoint.

Parameter	Sirolimus Oral Solution 2 mg/day (n = 227)	Sirolimus Oral Solution 5 mg/day (n = 219)	Placebo (n = 130)
Efficacy failure at 6 months ^a	30.0	25.6	47.7
Components of efficacy failure			
Biopsy-proven acute rejection	24.7	19.2	41.5
Graft loss	3.1	3.7	3.3
Death	2.7	2.1	2.3
Lead to follow-up	0	0	0

Lead to follow-up	44.1	41.6	54.6
Components of efficacy failure			
Biopsy-proven acute rejection	32.2	27.4	43.9
Graft loss	6.2	7.3	4.9
Death	4.2	3.3	0
Lead to follow-up	0	0.9	0.8

a: Patients received cyclosporine and corticosteroids.
b: Includes patients who prematurely discontinued treatment.
c: Primary endpoint.

Parameter	Sirolimus Oral Solution 2 mg/day (n = 284)	Sirolimus Oral Solution 5 mg/day (n = 274)	Azathioprine 2-3 mg/kg/day (n = 161)	Placebo (n = 130)
Graft survival	94.7	92.7	93.8	
Month 24	85.2	89.1	90.1	
Patient survival	97.2	96.0	98.1	
Month 24	92.6	94.9	96.3	

Parameter	Sirolimus Oral Solution 2 mg/day (n = 227)	Sirolimus Oral Solution 5 mg/day (n = 219)	Placebo (n = 130)
Study 1			
Graft survival	94.7	92.7	93.8
Month 24	85.2	89.1	90.1
Patient survival	97.2	96.0	98.1
Month 24	92.6	94.9	96.3
Study 2			
Graft survival	89.9	90.9	87.7
Month 12	81.1	79.9	80.8
Patient survival	96.5	95.0	94.6
Month 36	90.3	89.5	90.8

a: Patients received cyclosporine and corticosteroids.
b: Includes patients who prematurely discontinued treatment.

The reduction in the incidence of first biopsy-confirmed acute rejection episodes in patients treated with sirolimus compared with the control groups indicated a reduction in all grades of rejection.
Study 1 was prospectively stratified by race within each grade; efficacy failure was similar for Sirolimus Oral Solution 2 mg/day and lower for Sirolimus Oral Solution 5 mg/day compared with azathioprine in Black patients. In Study 2, which was not prospectively stratified by race, efficacy failure was similar for both Sirolimus Oral Solution doses compared with placebo in Black patients. The decrease in the higher dose of Sirolimus Oral Solution in Black patients must be weighed against the increased risk of dose-dependent adverse events that were observed with the Sirolimus Oral Solution 5-mg dose [see Adverse Reactions (8.1)].

Parameter	Sirolimus Oral Solution 2 mg/day	Sirolimus Oral Solution 5 mg/day	Azathioprine 2-3 mg/kg/day	Placebo
Study 1				
Black (n=165)	34.0 (n=63)	18.0 (n=61)	33.3 (n=42)	
Non-black (n=553)	14.0 (n=221)	16.4 (n=213)	31.9 (n=119)	
Study 2				
Black (n=610)	30.8 (n=26)	33.7 (n=26)	38.5 (n=13)	
Non-black (n=516)	28.5 (n=231)	24.5 (n=192)	48.2 (n=117)	

Parameter	Sirolimus Oral Solution 2 mg/day	Sirolimus Oral Solution 5 mg/day	Azathioprine 2-3 mg/kg/day	Placebo
Study 1				
Month 12	57.4 ± 1.3 (n = 269)	54.6 ± 1.3 (n = 249)	64.1 ± 1.6 (n = 152)	
Month 24	58.4 ± 1.5 (n = 221)	52.6 ± 1.5 (n = 222)	62.4 ± 1.9 (n = 130)	
Study 2				
Month 12	52.4 ± 1.5 (n = 211)	51.5 ± 1.5 (n = 199)	58.0 ± 1.1 (n = 117)	
Month 36	48.1 ± 1.8 (n = 183)	46.1 ± 2.0 (n = 177)	53.4 ± 2.7 (n = 102)	

a: Includes patients who prematurely discontinued treatment.
b: Patients who had a graft loss were included in the analysis with GFR set to 0.0.
Within each treatment group in Studies 1 and 2, mean GFR at one-year post-transplant was lower in patients who experienced at least one episode of biopsy-proven acute rejection, compared with those who did not.
Renal function was monitored, and appropriate measures were taken to support cyclosporine withdrawal (in the opinion of the investigator). The primary efficacy endpoint was graft survival at 12 months after transplantation. Secondary efficacy endpoints were the rate of biopsy-proven acute rejection, patient survival, incidence of efficacy failure (defined as the first occurrence of either biopsy-proven acute rejection, graft loss, or death), and treatment failure (defined as the first occurrence of either discontinuation, acute rejection, graft loss, or death).

14.2 High-Hemoglobin Risk Renal Transplant Patients
The safety and efficacy of sirolimus as a maintenance regimen were assessed following cyclosporine withdrawal at 3 to 4 months after renal transplantation. Study 3 was a randomized, multicenter, controlled trial conducted at 57 centers in Australia, Canada, and Europe. Five hundred and twenty (520) patients were enrolled. All patients in this study received the tablet formulation. This study compared patients who were administered sirolimus, cyclosporine, and corticosteroids continuously with patients who received the same standardized therapy for the first 3 months after transplantation (pre-randomization period) followed by the withdrawal of cyclosporine. Once cyclosporine withdrawal, the sirolimus doses were adjusted to achieve targeted sirolimus whole blood trough concentration ranges (16 to 24 ng/mL until month 12, then 12 to 20 ng/mL thereafter, expressed as chromatographic assay values). At 3 months, 420 patients were randomized to either continue sirolimus with cyclosporine therapy or to receive sirolimus as a maintenance regimen following cyclosporine withdrawal.

Eligibility for randomization included no Banff Grade 3a acute rejection or vascular rejection episode in the 4 weeks before random assignment. Patients with a serum creatinine ≥ 4.5 mg/dL, and/or inadequate to support cyclosporine withdrawal (in the opinion of the investigator). The primary efficacy endpoint was graft survival at 12 months after transplantation. Secondary efficacy endpoints were the rate of biopsy-confirmed acute rejection, patient survival, incidence of efficacy failure (defined as the first occurrence of either biopsy-proven acute rejection, graft loss, or death), and treatment failure (defined as the first occurrence of either discontinuation, acute rejection, graft loss, or death).

Parameter	Sirolimus with Cyclosporine Therapy (n=215)	Sirolimus Following Cyclosporine Withdrawal (n=215)
Graft Survival		
Month 12	95.3 ^a	97.2
Month 24	91.6	94.0
Month 36 ^b	87.0	91.6
Patient Survival		
Month 12	97.2	96.6
Month 24	94.4	95.6
Month 36 ^b	91.6	94.0

a: Includes patients who prematurely discontinued treatment.
b: Primary efficacy endpoint.
c: Survival including loss to follow-up as an event.

The following table summarizes the results of first biopsy-proven acute rejection at 12 and 36 months. There was a significant difference in first biopsy-proven rejection rates between the two groups after randomization and through 12 months. Most of the post-randomization acute rejections occurred in the cyclosporine withdrawal group.

Period	Sirolimus with Cyclosporine (n = 215)	Sirolimus Following Cyclosporine Withdrawal (n = 215)
Pre-randomization ^a	9.3	10.2
Post-randomization through 12 months ^b	4.2	9.8
Post-randomization from 12 to 36 months ^b	1.4	0.5
Post-randomization through 36 months ^b	5.6	10.2
Total at 36 months ^b	14.9	20.5

a: Includes patients who prematurely discontinued treatment.
b: All patients received corticosteroids.
c: Randomization occurred at 3 months ± 2 weeks.

Patients receiving renal allografts with a 4 HLA mismatches experienced significantly higher rates of acute rejection following randomization to the cyclosporine withdrawal group, compared with patients who continued cyclosporine (15.3% versus 9%). Patients receiving renal allografts with a 3 HLA mismatches demonstrated similar rates of acute rejection between treatment groups (6.8% versus 7.7%) following randomization.
The following table summarizes the mean calculated GFR in Study 3 (cyclosporine withdrawal study).

Parameter	Sirolimus with Cyclosporine Therapy (n = 227)	Sirolimus Following Cyclosporine Withdrawal (n = 219)
Month 12	53.2 ± 1.5 (n = 208)	59.3 ± 1.5 (n = 203)
Month 24	48.4 ± 1.7 (n = 203)	58.4 ± 1.6 (n = 201)
Month 36	47.0 ± 1.8 (n = 196)	58.5 ± 1.9 (n = 199)

a: Includes patients who prematurely discontinued treatment.
b: Includes patients who had a graft loss were included in the analysis and had their GFR set to 0.0.
c: All patients received corticosteroids.
The mean GFR at 12, 24, and 36 months, calculated by the Nankvell equation, was significantly higher for patients receiving sirolimus with cyclosporine than for patients receiving sirolimus following cyclosporine withdrawal at each transplant center. Patients who had an acute rejection prior to randomization had a significantly higher GFR following cyclosporine withdrawal compared to those in the sirolimus with cyclosporine group. There was no significant difference in GFR between groups for patients who experienced acute rejection post-randomization.
Although the initial protocol was designed for 36 months, there was a subsequent amendment to extend this study. The results for the cyclosporine withdrawal group at months 48 and 60 were consistent with the results at month 36. Fifty-two percent (112/121) of the patients in the sirolimus with cyclosporine withdrawal group remained on therapy to month 60 and showed sustained GFR.

14.3 High-Hemoglobin Risk Renal Transplant Patients
Sirolimus was studied in a one-year, clinical trial in high risk patients (Study 4) who were defined as Black transplant recipients and/or repeat renal transplant recipients who lost a previous allograft for immunologic reasons and/or patients with high renal-reactive antibodies (PRA, peak PRA level > 80%). Patients received concentration-controlled sirolimus and cyclosporine (MODIFIED), and corticosteroids per local practice. The sirolimus dose was adjusted to achieve target whole blood trough sirolimus concentrations of 10-15 ng/mL. (chromatographic method) throughout the 12-month study period. The cyclosporine dose was adjusted to achieve target whole blood trough concentrations of 200-300 ng/mL through week 2, 150-200 ng/mL from week 2 to week 4, and 100-150 ng/mL from week 6 to week 52 [See Pharmacology (12.3)]. The observed trough concentrations were similar to those in the sirolimus with cyclosporine group. There was no significant difference in graft loss, acute and renal function was assessed with the following endpoints, measured at 12 months: efficacy failure (defined as the first occurrence of biopsy-confirmed acute rejection, graft loss, or death), first occurrence of graft loss or death, and renal function as measured by the calculated GFR using the Nankvell formula. The table below summarizes the results of these endpoints.

TABLE 16: EFFICACY FAILURE, GRAFT LOSS OR DEATH AND CALCULATED GLOMERULAR FILTRATION RATES (mL/min) BY NANKVELL EQUATION AT 12 MONTHS POST-TRANSPLANT. STUDY 4*

Parameter	Sirolimus with Cyclosporine, Corticosteroids (n=254)	Sirolimus with Cyclosporine, Corticosteroids (n=254)
Efficacy Failure (%)	23.1	23.1
Graft Loss or Death (%)	9.8	9.8
Renal function (mean ± SEM) ^{a,b}	52.6 ± 1.6 (n=222)	52.6 ± 1.6 (n=222)

a: Calculated GFR was calculated by the Nankvell equation.
b: Patients who had graft loss were included in this analysis with GFR set to 0.
c: Patient survival at 12 months was 94.6%. The incidence of biopsy-confirmed acute rejection was 17.4%, and the majority of the episodes of acute rejection were mild or severe.

14.4 Conversion from Calcineurin Inhibitors to Sirolimus in Maintenance Renal Transplant Patients
Conversion from calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) to sirolimus was assessed in maintenance renal transplant patients 6 months to 10 years post-transplant (Study 5). This study was a prospective, multicenter, controlled trial conducted at 111 centers, globally, including US and Europe, and was intended to show that renal function was improved by conversion from CNI to sirolimus. Eight hundred thirty (830) patients were enrolled and stratified by baseline calculated glomerular filtration rate (GFR, 20-40 mL/min versus greater than 40 mL/min). In this trial there was no benefit associated with conversion with regard to improvement in renal function and a greater incidence of proteinuria in the sirolimus conversion arm. In addition, enrollment of patients with baseline calculated GFR less than 40 mL/min was discontinued due to a higher rate of serious adverse events, including pneumonia, acute rejection, graft loss and death [See Adverse Reactions (8.4)].

This study compared renal transplant patients (6-120 months after transplantation) who were converted from calcineurin inhibitors to sirolimus with patients who continued to receive cyclosporine. Concomitant immunosuppressive medications included mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), azathioprine (AZA), and corticosteroids. Sirolimus was initiated with a single loading dose of 12-20 mg, after which dosing was adjusted to achieve a target sirolimus whole blood trough concentration of 8-20 ng/mL. (chromatographic method). The efficacy endpoint was calculated GFR at 12 months post-randomization. Additional endpoints included biopsy-confirmed acute rejection, graft loss, and death. Findings in the patient stratum with baseline calculated GFR greater than 40 mL/min are summarized below. There was no clinically or statistically significant improvement in Nankvell GFR compared to baseline.

TABLE 17: RENAL FUNCTION IN STABLE RENAL TRANSPLANT PATIENTS IN STUDY 5*

Parameter	Sirolimus Conversion (N = 496)	CNI Conversion (N = 245)	Difference (95% CI)
GFR mL/min (Nankvell) at 1 year	59.0	57.7	1.3 (-1.1, 3.7)
GFR mL/min (Nankvell) at 2 year	53.7	52.1	1.6 (-1.4, 4.6)

The rates of acute rejection, graft loss, and death were similar at 1 and 2 years. Treatment-emergent adverse events occurred more frequently during the first 6 months after transplant. The rates of pneumonia were significantly higher for the sirolimus conversion group.

When the mean median values for urinary protein to creatinine ratio were similar between treatment groups at baseline, significantly higher mean and median levels of urinary protein excretion were seen in the sirolimus conversion group at 1 year and at 2 years, as shown in the table below. [See Warnings and Precautions (5.9)]. In addition, when compared to patients who continued to receive cyclosporine, a higher percentage of patients had urinary protein to creatinine ratio ≥ 1 at 1 and 2 years after transplantation. This difference was seen in both patients who had a urinary protein to creatinine ratio ≥ 1 at baseline and in patients who had a urinary protein to creatinine ratio < 1 at baseline. More patients in the sirolimus conversion group developed nephrotic range proteinuria, as defined by a urinary protein to creatinine ratio > 3.5 (46/482 (9.5%) versus 9/239 (3.8%)), even when the patients with baseline nephrotic range proteinuria were excluded. The rate of nephrotic range proteinuria was significantly higher in the sirolimus conversion group compared to the calcineurin inhibitor continuation group with baseline urinary protein to creatinine ratio > 1 (13/29 versus 1/4), excluding patients with baseline nephrotic range proteinuria.

TABLE 18: MEAN AND MEDIAN VALUES FOR URINARY PROTEIN TO CREATININE RATIO (mg/mg) BETWEEN TREATMENT GROUPS AT BASELINE, 1 AND 2 YEARS IN THE STRATUM WITH BASELINE CALCULATED GFR > 40 mL/min

Study period	Sirolimus Conversion	CNI Continuation	p-value
Baseline	410	207	0.28 (0.01)
1 year	423	203	0.37 < 0.88 0.14 < 0.01
2 years	379	190	0.47 < 0.96 0.13 < 0.01

The above information should be taken into account when considering conversion from calcineurin inhibitors to sirolimus in transplant patients due to the lack of evidence showing that renal function improves following conversion, and the finding of a greater increase in urinary protein excretion, and an increased incidence of treatment-emergent nephrotic range proteinuria in the sirolimus group compared to the calcineurin group. This was particularly true among patients with existing abnormal urinary protein excretion prior to conversion.

14.5 Conversion to a CNI-based Regimen to a Sirolimus-based Regimen in Liver Transplant Patients
Conversion from a CNI-based regimen to a sirolimus-based regimen was assessed in stable liver transplant patients 6-144 months post-transplant. The clinical study was a 2:1 randomized, multi-center, controlled trial conducted at 82 centers globally, including the US and Europe, and was intended to show that renal function was improved by conversion from a CNI to sirolimus without adversely impacting efficacy or safety. A total of 607 patients were enrolled.

The study failed to demonstrate superiority of conversion to a sirolimus-based regimen compared to continuation of a CNI-based regimen in baseline-adjusted GFR, as estimated by Cockcroft-Gault, at 12 months (62 mL/min in the sirolimus conversion group and 63 mL/min in the CNI continuation group). The study also failed to demonstrate non-inferiority with respect to the composite incidence of graft loss and death, including patients with missing survival data in the sirolimus conversion group compared to the CNI continuation group (6.6% versus 5.6%). The number of deaths in the sirolimus conversion group (15/262; 5.8%) was higher than in the CNI continuation group (3/214; 1.4%), although the difference was not statistically significant. The rate of treatment failure (defined as the first occurrence of either loss of efficacy, adverse events, or death) was higher in the sirolimus conversion group compared to the CNI continuation group.

14.6 Pediatric Renal Transplant Patients
Sirolimus was evaluated in a 36-month, open-label, randomized, controlled clinical trial at 14 North American centers in pediatric (aged 3 to < 18 years) renal transplant patients considered to be at high-immunologic risk for developing chronic allograft nephropathy, defined as a history of one or more acute allograft rejection episodes and/or the presence of chronic allograft nephropathy on a renal biopsy. Seventy-eight (78) subjects were randomized in a 2:1 ratio to sirolimus (sirolimus target concentrations of 5 to 15 ng/mL, by chromatographic assay, n = 53) in combination with a calcineurin inhibitor and corticosteroids or to continue calcineurin-inhibitor based immunosuppressive therapy (n = 25). The primary endpoint of the study was efficacy failure as defined by the first occurrence of biopsy-confirmed acute rejection, graft loss, or death, and the trial was designed to demonstrate superiority of sirolimus-based immunosuppressive regimen compared to a calcineurin-inhibitor-based regimen. The cumulative incidence of efficacy failure up to 36 months was 45.3% in the sirolimus group compared to 44.0% in the control group, and did not demonstrate superiority. There was one death in each group. The use of sirolimus in combination with calcineurin inhibitors and corticosteroids was associated with an increased risk of deterioration of renal function, serum lipid abnormalities (including, but not limited to, increased serum triglycerides and cholesterol), and urinary tract infections [See Warnings and Precautions (5.5)]. This study does not support the addition of sirolimus to calcineurin-inhibitor based immunosuppressive therapy in this subpopulation of pediatric renal transplant patients.

15 REFERENCES
Clinical Perspectives, Volume 22, Supplement B, April 2000 [See Dosage and Administration (2.5)].

16 HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING
Since sirolimus is not absorbed through the skin, there are no special precautions. However, if direct contact of the oral solution occurs with the skin or eyes, wash skin thoroughly with soap and water; rinse eyes with plain water.

Do not use Sirolimus Oral Solution after the expiration date. The expiration date refers to the last day of that month.

16.1 Sirolimus Oral Solution

Sirolimus Oral Solution is a yellow colored solution.
Each Sirolimus Oral Solution carton, NDC 66689-347-02, contains one (2, 60 mL fill) amber glass bottle of sirolimus (concentration of 1 mg/mL), one oral syringe adapter for fitting into the neck of the bottle, sufficient disposable amber oral syringes and caps for daily dosing, and a carrying case.
Sirolimus Oral Solution bottles should be stored protected from light and refrigerated at 2°C to 8°C (36°F to 46°F). Once the contents is opened, the contents should be used within one month. If necessary, the patient may store the bottles at room temperatures up to 25°C (77°F) for a short period of time (e.g., not more than 15 days for the bottles).
An amber syringe and cap are provided for dosing, and the product may be kept in the syringe for a maximum of 24 hours at room temperatures up to 25°C (77°F) or refrigerated at 2°C to 8°C (36°F to 46°F). The syringe should be discarded after use. After dilution, the preparation should be used immediately.

Sirolimus Oral Solution provided in bottles may develop a slight haze when refrigerated. If such a haze occurs, allow the product to stand at room temperature and shake gently until the haze disappears. The presence of this haze does not affect the quality of the product.

17 PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION
Advise patients, their families, and their caregivers to read the Medication Guide and Instructions for Use for the Oral Solution and assist them in understanding its contents. The complete text of the Medication Guide and Instructions for Use for the Oral Solution are reprinted at the end of this document.

See FDA-Approved Medication Guide and Instructions for Use for the Oral Solution.

17.1 Dosage
Patients should be given complete dosage instructions [See FDA-Approved Medication Guide].

17.2 Skin Cancer Events
Advise patients that exposure to sunlight and ultraviolet (UV) light should be limited by wearing protective clothing and using a broad spectrum sunscreen with a high protection factor because of the increased risk for skin cancer [See Warnings and Precautions (5.16)].

17.3 Pregnancy and Lactation
Advise female patients of reproductive potential to avoid becoming pregnant throughout treatment and for 12 weeks after Sirolimus therapy has stopped. Sirolimus can cause fetal harm if taken during pregnancy. Advise a pregnant woman of the potential risk to